This discussion is designed to receive feedback specifically for the third "Resolved" in the Draft Policy: CASC_W Policy 2019 1 DRAFT 9.24.19.pdf

"A grade of XF to be added to the grading system which can be assigned at the discretion of faculty for students who are not attending. This grade will have a numerical value of 0 for GPA purposes. Note that faculty retain the right to use "F" instead of "XF" in this instance."

Rationale: This would add an optional "XF" grade to the drop-down menu. This distinction is meant to indicate that the student stopped attending, but did not officially withdraw. During discussions with faculty, the subcommittee found that many faculty were applying a W from the drop down menu at the end of the semester for the "disappearing student." This XF designation is meant to take the place for when the W was previously applied in those situations by faculty. Please note, that the faculty would not be required to use this distinction, and would also retain the right to indicate a F (or other letter grade) on the final grade menu, as he or she deems appropriate based on grading policies and individual course requirements.

> Thread: several questions Posted Date: October 14, 2019 1:26 PM

Post: several questions Status: Published

Author: Dennis Reissig

The XF grade sounds like a good compromise for faculty who don't want to give grades to students who stop attending, but don't withdraw themselves. I do have some questions:

- 1) Would there be guidelines for interpretation of the words "not attending"? For example, a student attends all classes but does not show up for the final exam. This doesn't sound like "not attending" to me, but others might interpret it differently. What if a student attends 14 straight weeks but then doesn't show up for the last week? ...
- 2) Would we need an interpretation for online classes?
- 3) Would the grade roster include a column for date of last attendance if the grade of XF is given (as we have in the Enrollment Verification Rosters)?
- 4) What about developmental courses? Students who fail a developmental course get a grade of U. Would we now use XU or would we still use XF in developmental courses? (Not to mention the other failing grade: R).

(Post is Read)

Thread: several questions Posted Date: October 14, 2019 2:29 PM

Post: RE: several questions Status: Published

Author: Lynn Liebert Marx

I share these concerns, and they would need to be addressed at some point. I do feel this might alleviate the discomfort some faculty might feel about the loss of the w option on the final grade roster.

(Post is Read)

October 22, 2019 4:46 PM Thread: several questions Posted Date:

Published Post: RE: several questions Status:

Author:

Theodore Koukounas

This is indeed a good compromise. I share the same that Dennis captured above regarding how we treat the developmental classes. I definitely support the XF option. The XU is a great idea for developmental students only and allows us to stay within the developmental grading culture.

(Post is Read)

 $\leftarrow \text{OK}$